

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/719,364	11/21/2003	Chris A. Peirson	41722-P001US	3590	
Michael P. Ad	7590 09/21/200 lams	9	EXAM	INER	
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C. 400 North Ervay Street P.O. Box 50784			TROTTER, SCOTT S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75	201		3694		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/719,364 PEIRSON ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	SCOTT S. TROTTER	3694					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	ersonnel):						
(1) <u>SCOTT S. TROTTER</u> .	(3)Chris Peirson.						
(2) <u>Bill Peirson</u> .	(4)Bobby Voigt.						
Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)[applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e If Yes, brief description:	s)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 9</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Hall (U.S. Patent 7,085,735).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g)	⊠ was not reached. h) N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general in reached, or any other comments: That what the application's audit features required to make the invention work in the real auditing functionality such as validating the address would be detail could change that assessment. It was also mentionally additionable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. In the FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the Is GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER O INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	saw as their invention was many and it world. Since that detail did it would be sufficient to meet the claim if that the regulations could be the summer agony of the amendments that world in the summer agony of the amendments that world in the summer agony of the amendments that world in the summer agony of the amendments that world in the summer agony of the amendment and the summer agony of the summer	ore drawn to cor not appear in clo as written but as e used as prior a reed would render could render the SUBSTANCE Co been filed, APP (DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	nplicated aim 9 any dding more rt. er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS				

/James P Trammell/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694